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# Nanotubes of t-isoleucyl-L-leucine 0.91-hydrate 

As the only dipeptide containing an l-Ile residue, the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.91 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, forms nanotubes with hydrophilic inner surfaces. There are two dipeptide molecules in the asymmetric unit, together with disordered water molecules.

## Comment

A systematic survey of the crystal structures of dipeptides constructed from the five hydrophobic amino acid residues L-Ala, L-Val, $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Ile}$, $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Leu}$ and $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Phe}$ has revealed that compounds with two small side chains tend to form structures with hexagonally symmetric hydrophobic pores (Görbitz, 2003, and references therein). Two large side chains may also give porous structures, but with hydrophilic rather than hydrophobic inner surfaces. This structural family has been referred to as the FF-class after L-Phe-L-Phe (FF), and includes l-Leu-L-Leu (LL), L-Leu-L-Phe (LF) and L-Phe-LLeu (FL) (Görbitz, 2001) as well as L-Trp-Gly (WG) (Emge et al., 2000; Birkedal et al., 2002).

(I)

The asymmetric unit of the title hydrate of L-Ile-L-Leu (IL) is shown in Fig. 1. It contains two dipeptide molecules and disordered water molecules. All bond lengths and bond angles are normal. There is considerable anisotropy for atoms C3B and $\mathrm{O} 2 A$, but the structure is generally well behaved. The two peptide molecules are very similar, as can be seen from the torsion angles in Table 1.

The crystal packing diagram in Fig. 2 and a comparison with details of the structures of LL and FL in Fig. 3 (Görbitz, 2001) immediately identify IL as a new member of the FF-class, characterized by the water-filled hydrophilic channels and the unique one-dimensional hydrogen-bond pattern along the shortest axis (three-dimensional pattern for WG through sidechain hydrogen bonding). It follows that peptide molecules $A$ and $B$, like other compounds in the FF-class, have both side chains located on the same side of the peptide plane. This property can be described in short as giving absolute values for the torsion angle $\theta=\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\beta}-\mathrm{C}_{1}{ }^{\alpha} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\alpha}-\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\beta}<90^{\circ}$. IL has $\theta=$ $10.6(2)^{\circ}$ for molecule A and 15.5 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$ for molecule B.
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Figure 1
The molecular structure of IL. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the $50 \%$ probability level, and H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary size. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds. Water molecules $E$ and $F$ have low occupancy ( 0.173 ) and are shown with dotted circles.


Figure 2
The molecular packing and unit cell viewed along the $b$ axis. The C atoms in molecule $B$ are colored orange; O atoms in the low-occupancy water molecules $E$ and $F$ are yellow.

Within the FF-class, IL (space group C2) is first of all remarkably similar to the structures of $\operatorname{LL}\left(P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}\right.$; Fig. 3) and LF ( $P 2_{1}$; not shown), which have $\theta$ values in the narrow range $-1.5-3.0^{\circ}$. FL and FF have higher $\theta$ values, 33.9-40.2 ${ }^{\circ}$. Furthermore, the $123.4^{\circ}$ relative rotation between the two molecules in the asymmetric unit of IL (Fig. 1) is about the same as for LL (122.1 $)$ and LF (121.1 $)$, while a relative rotation of only $102.0^{\circ}$ was observed for FL (Fig. 3) (WG and FF have tetragonal and hexagonal symmetry, respectively, with only one peptide molecule in the asymmetric unit). The IL central cavity is of rectangular shape, with van der Waals dimensions $2.5 \times 6.0 \AA$, as found also for LL and LF. A small difference between the three structures is, however, found in the arrangement of water molecules inside the channels. In LL (Fig. 3) and LF, two independent water molecules with full occupancy form bridges between amino groups and carboxylate groups across the channels. The same water positions, called $C$ and $D$, are found for IL, as well as two


LL


FL

Figure 3
Individual nanotubes in the structures of LL and FL (Görbitz, 2001). Color coding as in Fig. 2.
minor water positions $E$ and $F$ with occupancy 0.173 (3). Introduction of an $E-F$ pair blocks one $C$ site and two $D$ sites, so that water molecule $C$ has occupancy 0.827 (3), while molecule $D$ has occupancy 0.653 (3).

As is evident from Fig. 3, FL represents an expansion of the central channel compared with LL, LF and IL, to $4.0 \times 6.0 \AA$, with nine refined water positions.

Hydrogen bonds between the IL peptide molecules, listed in Table 2, are equivalent to those observed in other FF-class crystal structures (Görbitz, 2001).

## Experimental

Crystals in the shape of needles, suitable for X-ray analysis, were grown by fast evaporation of an aqueous solution at elevated temperature ( 333 K ), the same technique used for crystallizing other compounds in the FF-class (Görbitz, 2001).

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 0.91 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=260.82$
Monoclinic, $C 2$
$a=34.243$ (2) $\AA$
$b=5.3251$ (4) $\AA$
$c=18.1469(12) \AA$
$\beta=114.830(2)^{\circ}$
$V=3003.1$ (4) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=8$
$D_{x}=1.155 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 4227 reflections
$\theta=2.3-26.4^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.09 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=105$ (2) K
Flat needle, colorless
$1.350 \times 0.070 \times 0.020 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD
diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\min }=0.857, T_{\max }=1.000$
8896 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.038$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.095$
$S=1.04$
3390 reflections
416 parameters
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

3390 independent reflections 2948 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.025$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=26.4^{\circ}$
$h=-42 \rightarrow 42$
$k=-6 \rightarrow 6$
$l=-22 \rightarrow 15$
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0448 P)^{2}\right.$
$+1.7788 P]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.004$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.36 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{m}} \AA_{\circ}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.36 \mathrm{e}^{2} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.37 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected torsion angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $\mathrm{N} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 6 A-\mathrm{N} 2 A$ | $136.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 6 B-\mathrm{N} 2 B$ | 130.9 (2) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 6 A-\mathrm{N} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 7 A$ | $174.61(19)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 6 B-\mathrm{N} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 7 B$ | -174.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 6 A-\mathrm{N} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 7 A-\mathrm{C} 12 A$ | $49.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 6 B-\mathrm{N} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 7 B-\mathrm{C} 12 B$ | 50.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 7 A-\mathrm{C} 12 A-\mathrm{O} 2 A$ | $47.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 7 B-\mathrm{C} 12 B-\mathrm{O} 2 B$ | 49.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 3 A$ | $55.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 3 B$ | 54.2 (4) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 4 A$ | $-179.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 4 B$ | -178.7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1 A-\mathrm{C} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 4 A-\mathrm{C} 5 A$ | $170.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1 B-\mathrm{C} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 4 B-\mathrm{C} 5 B$ | $166.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 A-\mathrm{C} 7 A-\mathrm{C} 8 A-\mathrm{C} 9 A$ | $-66.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2 B-\mathrm{C} 7 B-\mathrm{C} 8 B-\mathrm{C} 9 B$ | -63.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 7 A-\mathrm{C} 8 A-\mathrm{C} 9 A-\mathrm{C} 10 A$ | $163.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 7 B-\mathrm{C} 8 B-\mathrm{C} 9 B-\mathrm{C} 10 B$ | $174.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 7 A-\mathrm{C} 8 A-\mathrm{C} 9 A-\mathrm{C} 11 A$ | $-73.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 7 B-\mathrm{C} 8 B-\mathrm{C} 9 B-\mathrm{C} 11 B$ | $-63.3(3)$ |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bonding geometry $\left(\AA{ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 A-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 C$ | $0.96(2)$ | $2.00(3)$ | $2.927(4)$ | $161(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 A-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 E^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.96(2)$ | $1.96(3)$ | $2.655(11)$ | $128(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 A-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 3 B^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.99(3)$ | $1.73(3)$ | $2.717(3)$ | $171(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 A-\mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 B^{\text {ii }}$ | $0.92(3)$ | $2.38(3)$ | $3.117(3)$ | $136(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 A-\mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 3 A^{\text {iii }}$ | $0.84(3)$ | $2.15(3)$ | $2.838(3)$ | $138(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1 A-\mathrm{H} 11 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 A^{\text {iii }}$ | $0.93(3)$ | $2.45(3)$ | $3.343(3)$ | $160(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 7 A-\mathrm{H} 71 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 3 A^{\text {iii }}$ | $1.01(3)$ | $2.50(3)$ | $3.167(3)$ | $123(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 C$ | $0.95(3)$ | $1.98(3)$ | $2.930(4)$ | $171(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{H} 1 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 F$ | $0.95(3)$ | $2.13(3)$ | $2.914(13)$ | $139(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{H} 2 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 3 A^{\text {iii }}$ | $0.95(3)$ | $1.88(3)$ | $2.800(3)$ | $163(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{H} 2 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 A^{\text {iii }}$ | $0.95(3)$ | $2.24(3)$ | $2.902(3)$ | $126(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1 B-\mathrm{H} 3 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 A$ | $0.96(3)$ | $1.77(3)$ | $2.714(3)$ | $167(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2 B-\mathrm{H} 4 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 3 B^{\text {iii }}$ | $0.82(3)$ | $2.30(3)$ | $2.992(3)$ | $142(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1 B-\mathrm{H} 11 B \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 B^{\text {iii }}$ | $0.96(3)$ | $2.43(3)$ | $3.355(3)$ | $162(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 C-\mathrm{H} 11 C \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 B$ | $0.853(10)$ | $1.933(12)$ | $2.779(3)$ | $169(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 C-\mathrm{H} 12 C \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 D^{\text {iii }}$ | $0.848(10)$ | $1.87(3)$ | $2.638(7)$ | $149(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 D-\mathrm{H} 11 D \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 A$ | $0.848(10)$ | $2.19(3)$ | $2.993(4)$ | $159(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 D-\mathrm{H} 12 D \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 B$ | $0.849(10)$ | $2.195(17)$ | $3.024(4)$ | $164(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 E-\mathrm{H} 11 E \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 B$ | $0.851(11)$ | $1.739(17)$ | $2.589(10)$ | $176(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 E-\mathrm{H} 12 E \cdots \mathrm{O} 1 \mathrm{~F}^{\text {ii }}$ | $0.850(11)$ | $2.13(6)$ | $2.733(16)$ | $127(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 F-\mathrm{H} 11 F \cdots \mathrm{O} 2 B$ | $0.852(11)$ | $2.09(4)$ | $2.896(13)$ | $156(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 F-\mathrm{H} 12 F \cdots \mathrm{O} 3 B^{\text {iii }}$ | $0.853(11)$ | $2.42(6)$ | $3.155(13)$ | $145(8)$ |

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, 1-z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2}-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, 1-z$; (iii) $x, 1+y, z$.

O atoms in water molecules with occupancy less than 0.5 were refined isotropically. Positional parameters were refined for peptide H atoms involved in hydrogen bonds; other peptide H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95-$ $1.04 \AA$. Water H atoms were introduced from a consideration of the hydrogen-bond network in the related LL and LF compounds (Görbitz, 2001) and refined with restraints of 0.85 (1) $\AA$ for $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ distances and $1.35(1) \AA$ for $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ distances, together with some weak restraints on hydrogen-bond $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ distances. $U_{\text {iso }}$ values for H atoms were set at $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}$ of the carrier atom, or $1.5 U_{\text {eq }}$ for water, methyl and amine groups. In the absence of significant anomalous scattering effects, Friedel pairs were merged; the absolute configuration is known for naturally occurring amino acids.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINTPlus (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The purchase of the Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer was made possible through support from the Research Council of Norway (NFR).
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